1. Unit: SPARQL

Exercise 1.1 (SPARQL-Queries) Give SPARQL queries against mondial.n3 that yield answers to the following questions:

- Name and population (ordered) of all countries that have more than 10.000.000 inhabitants.
- Name of all countries that have at least one city with more than 1.000.000 inhabitants.
- Name of all countries that have no city with more than 1.000.000 inhabitants.
- Name of all european countries that have no membership in the European Union.
- Abbreviations of all organizations whose headquarter is located in the capital of a member country (together with the names of the country and the city).

```
# bigcountries.sparql
prefix mon: <http://www.semwebtech.org/mondial/10/meta#>
prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
SELECT ?N ?P
FROM <file:mondial.n3>
WHERE {?X rdf:type mon:Country . ?X mon:name ?N . ?X mon:population ?P .
       FILTER (?P > 10000000) }
ORDER BY DESC(?P)
# bigcities.sparql
prefix mon: <http://www.semwebtech.org/mondial/10/meta#>
prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
SELECT DISTINCT ?N
FROM <file:mondial.n3>
WHERE {?X rdf:type mon:Country . ?X mon:name ?N .
       ?X mon:hasCity ?C . ?C mon:population ?P .
       FILTER (?P > 1000000) }
# nobigcities.sparql
prefix mon: <http://www.semwebtech.org/mondial/10/meta#>
prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
SELECT distinct ?N
FROM <file:mondial.n3>
WHERE {?X rdf:type mon:Country . ?X mon:name ?N .
       OPTIONAL { ?X mon:hasCity ?C . ?C mon:population ?P . FILTER (?P > 1000000) } .
       FILTER (!BOUND(?P)) }
# no-eu.sparql
prefix mon: <http://www.semwebtech.org/mondial/10/meta#>
prefix rdf: <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>
SELECT distinct ?N
FROM <file:mondial.n3>
WHERE {?X rdf:type mon:Country . ?X mon:name ?N .
       ?X mon:encompassed [ mon:name 'Europe' ] .
```

This is an example for a *cyclic join*: Organization - hasHeadq - City - isCapital - Country - isMember - Organization. Note the occurrence of the join variable O that closes this circle. When evaluated in the same order as stated in the query, the last triple pattern acts as a selection (the actual evaluation order is defined by the optimizer).

Exercise 1.2 (SPARQL Formal Semantics) Consider the SPARQL Formal Semantics.

- a) define a relational "null-tolerant join" that acts like \bowtie above.
- b) which SQL construct is similar to the "\" operator in the SPARQL algebra?
- c) in the above algebra, OPT is expressed via left outer join, which is defined via "\" (while a corresponding MINUS does not exist in the SPARQL syntax). Such a MINUS (cf. part (b) of this exercise) provides a more intuitive idea of negation than "! bound(x)". Give a general pattern how to express (P_1 MINUS P_2) in SPARQL syntax.
- d) recall the definition of □⋈ in the relational algebra (DB lecture) and define SPARQL's □⋈ in a similar way.

(parts of the solution are taken from [PAG06]: Jorge Pérez, Marcelo Arenas, Claudio Gutierrez: Semantics and Complexity of SPARQL. International Semantic Web Conference 2006: 30-43, and from [AG08]: Renzo Angles and Claudio Gutierrez: The Expressive Power of SPARQL. International Semantic Web Conference 2008: 114-129; use http://www.dblp.org)

- a) Consider R(A, B, C) and S(A, B, D) where A is non-null, and B can contain nulls. Then, the null-tolerant join \bowtie_{null} can be defined by the following steps:
 - 1) cartesian product of both relations, immediately evaluating the condition

```
r_1.a = r_2.a \vee r_1.a is null \vee r_2.a is null.
```

The result has the format $[R_1.A, R_2.A, R_1.B, R_2.B, C, D]$.

- $-R_1.A$ has always the same (non-null) value as $R_2.A$.
- $-R_1.B$ and $R_2.B$ can contain the same non-null-value, but also any of them can contain a null value, while the other is also null, or contains a non-null value.
- 2) apply a projection that removes $R_2.A$.

3) For handling B, a new basic operator has to be defined (similar to SQL's binary "coalesce" function: if the first argument is null, take the second one):

```
coalesce: ANY \times ANY, (v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1 if v_1 is not null, (null, v) \mapsto v
```

(note that $coalesce(R_1.B, R_2.B) = coalesce(R_2.B, R_1.B)$ after evaluating the condition in Step (1)).

b) SQL's "WHERE NOT EXISTS ..." is similar.

Consider R_1 and R_2 as above.

```
SELECT * FROM R_1 WHERE NOT EXISTS ( SELECT * FROM R_2 WHERE R_1.A=R_2.A AND (R_1.B=R_2.B OR R_1.B is null OR R_2.B is null)).
```

c) (taken from [AG08], Section 3)

The basic idea is to replace $(P_1 \text{ MINUS } P_2)$ by

```
((P_1 \text{ OPT } P_2) \text{ FILTER } (!bound(?Y)))
```

where Y is a variable that occurs in P_2 , but not in P_1 .

Two more aspects have to be considered:

- If P_2 is of the form $(P'_2 \text{ OPT } P''_2)$, then Y must be a variable from P'_2 i.e., a non-optional variable (otherwise there are solutions to P_2 that do not bind it).
- If there is no such variable (i.e. all non-optional variables of P_2 occur also in P_1 , one must introduce one: take any non-optional triple pattern T that
 - i) contains at least one new variable X' and
 - ii) is sure to be satisfied whenever $(P_1 \text{ and}) P_2$ is satisfied (i.e., it can be a renamed copy $(?X \neq ?X')$ of some triple pattern $(?X \neq ?Z)$ from P_1 , or any arbitrary pattern that is known to be satisfied in the application)

```
and use ((P_1 \text{ OPT } (P_2 \text{ AND } T)) \text{ FILTER } (!bound(X'))).
```

In practice, instead of (X' p t), any pattern can be used where it is sure that it is also bound for each match of P_2 .

Example: all cities in a country which are not its capital:

X and C occur in P_1 and in P_2 , so a (useless) triple pattern is added to bind C_2 .

d) $\Omega_1 \rightrightarrows \Omega_2 = (\Omega_1 \bowtie \Omega_2) \cup (\Omega_1 \setminus_s (\Omega_1 \bowtie \Omega_2))$ where the semijoin is defined as usual as $\Omega_1 \bowtie \Omega_2 = \pi[\operatorname{var}(\Omega_1)](\Omega_1 \bowtie \Omega_2)$, and \setminus_s denotes the classical set difference from set algebra. Note that it is not necessary to extend the second part of the union with null values, as it is done in the relational algebra.

Exercise 1.3 (Outer Join) Recall that SPARQL's OPTIONAL corresponds to a left outer join.

• Give a general pattern how to express a *full* outer join (i.e., "outer" to both sides) in the SPARQL algebra (consider as input two mappings R and S and give an expression for $R \bowtie S$) and in SPARQL.

• Give all cities (name as ?XN) that are the capital of a country (:capital) or that are located at a river (:locatedAt) or both (return the names ?CN of the country and/or the river (?RN)).

• Replace the full outer join by a two left outer joins: $(R \implies S) \cup (S \implies R)$. Note that the intersection of both subterms is the inner join. With set semantics, these duplicates are automatically removed. Otherwise apply a DISTINCT.

Alternatively, the inner join can be removed from the second term:

```
(R \supset S) \cup ((S \supset R) \setminus_s (S \bowtie R)) or (R \supset S) \cup ((S \supset R) \setminus (S \bowtie R))
```

(recall that \setminus denotes the not-exists-like operator from the SPARQL algebra, and \setminus_s denotes the classical set difference).

For SPARQL, the query is of the form

• There is an intuitive solution that replaces the outer join by two optionals: take a city, and if it is a capital, list the country, and if it is located at a river, list the river:

The query yields one line for *each* city, including those that are neither capitals, nor located at a river.

The second solution applies the solution of (a):

Exercise 1.4 (SPARQL Formal Semantics: OPTIONAL) Consider the SPARQL Formal Semantics.

Prove or show a counterexample:

The statement

If OPT(A, B) is an optional graph pattern, where A and B are graph patterns, then S is a solution of OPT(A,B) if S is a pattern solution of A and of B otherwise if S is a solution to A, but not to A and B.

describes the same semantics as above.

The given characterization is the one from the W3C SPARQL Recommendation from 20061004. The counterexample is taken from [PAG06], Examples 1 and 3:

RDF database D:

```
D = \{ (B_1 \text{ name paul}), 
                                              (B_1 \quad \text{phone})
                                                                777-3426),
        (B_2)
                                              (B_2)
                                                    email
                                                                john@acd.edu),
             name
                      john),
        (B_3)
                                              (B_3)
                                                    webPage
                                                                www.george.edu),
             name george),
       (B_4)
             name ringo),
                                              (B_4)
                                                    email
                                                                ringo@acd.edu),
                                              (B_4)
                                                                888-4537)
                                                                                      }
             _{
m email}
                    www.starr.edu),
                                                    phone
```

Query pattern:

```
P = ((?X, \text{name, paul}) \text{ OPT } ((?Y, \text{name, george}) \text{ OPT } (?X, \text{email, }?Z))) =: (P_1 \text{ OPT } (P_2 \text{ OPT } P_3)).
```

```
 \begin{split} & \|P_1\| = \{\{X/B_1\}\}. \\ & \|P_2\| = \{\{Y/B_3\}\}. \\ & \|P_3\| = \{\{X/B_2, Z/\text{john@}\}, \ \{X/B_4, Z/\text{ringo@}\}\}. \\ & \|P_2 \text{ OPT } P_3\| = \|P_2 \bowtie P_3\| = \{\{X/B_2, Y/B_3, Z/\text{john@}\}, \ \{X/B_4, Y/B_3, Z/\text{ringo@}\}\}. \\ & \|P\| = \|P_1\| \bowtie \|P_2 \bowtie P_3\| = \{\{X/B_1\}\}. \end{split}
```

On the other hand according to the textual W3C characterization, $S := \{\{X/B_1, Y/B_3\}\}$ is a solution to $P: S := \{\{X/B_1, Y/B_3\}\}$ is a solution to P_1 and to P_2 OPT P_3 ; the latter holds since it is a solution to P_2 , although not to P_3 .

The counterexample exploits the fact that it is not well-designed (i.e., X occurs inside the inner optional, and in the outermost pattern, but not directly outside the inner optional).

Note that the "declarative", but non-algebraic W3C characterization is also problematic from the operational aspect since the solution must first be guessed before being tested. An algebraic (and thus compositional) semantics allows a bottom-up computation from inside-out.

Exercise 1.5 (SPARQL: Filter-Safe Expressions) Consider the following definition:

Definition 1 ([PAG06, AG 08)]A SPARQL expression is *filter-safe*, if for every subexpression of the form (P FILTER R), $var(R) \subseteq var(P)$.

Filters of the forbidden from are rather commonly used, e.g.,

- a) Sketch an algorithm that rewrites non-filter safe queries into safe ones. First, try it on your own, then maybe look in [AG08].
- b) Is there a similar thing in SQL and the relational algebra?

a) An intuitive solution is to duplicate (relevant parts of) the pattern outside the OPTIONAL for binding of the variable to inside:

```
{ ?P1 a :Person; :age ?A1. ?P2 a :Person
OPTIONAL { ?P1 a :Person; :age ?A1 . ?P2 a :Person . ?P2 :age ?A2 . FILTER ( ?A2 > ?A1 ) } }
```

The variables occurring in the inner pattern and in the outer pattern act as join variables when computing the outer join.

The complete algorithm can be found in [AG08]. There, the fourth if-case (lines 7-10) cover the above case. The fifth if-case (lines 12-24) just throws an error in case a filter is still unsafe. Note (DB Theory lecture): consider the similarity with the transformation of general formulas into RANF when moving conjuncts into negated subformulas to make them *self-contained*.

b) Yes: correlated subqueries. For instance: "all countries where more than 25% of the population live in the capital":

```
SELECT name FROM country
WHERE population < 4 *
   (SELECT population FROM city
   WHERE city.name= country.capital AND country.province=city.province
   AND city.country= country.code)</pre>
```

Here, the corresponding algebra expression also "copies" the country columns into the subquery by using a broad join.