

1. Unit: SPARQL

Exercise 1.1 (SPARQL-Queries) Give SPARQL queries against `mondial.n3` that yield answers to the following questions:

- Name and population (ordered) of all countries that have more than 10.000.000 inhabitants.
- Name of all countries that have at least one city with more than 1.000.000 inhabitants.
- Name of all countries that have no city with more than 1.000.000 inhabitants.
- Name of all european countries that have no membership in the European Union.
- Abbreviations of all organizations whose headquarter is located in the capital of a member country (together with the names of the country and the city).

This is an example for a *cyclic join*: Organization - hasHeadq - City - isCapital - Country - isMember - Organization. Note the occurrence of the join variable O that closes this circle. When evaluated in the same order as stated in the query, the last triple pattern acts as a selection (the actual evaluation order is defined by the optimizer).

Exercise 1.2 (SPARQL Formal Semantics) Consider the SPARQL Formal Semantics.

- a) define a relational “null-tolerant join” that acts like \bowtie above.
- b) which SQL construct is similar to the “\” operator in the SPARQL algebra?
- c) in the above algebra, OPT is expressed via left outer join, which is defined via “\” (while a corresponding MINUS does not exist in the SPARQL syntax).
Such a MINUS (cf. part (b) of this exercise) provides a more intuitive idea of negation than “!bound(x)”. Give a general pattern how to express (P_1 MINUS P_2) in SPARQL syntax.
- d) recall the definition of \bowtie in the relational algebra (DB lecture) and define SPARQL’s \bowtie in a similar way.

Exercise 1.3 (Outer Join) Recall that SPARQL’s OPTIONAL corresponds to a left outer join.

- Give a general pattern how to express a *full* outer join (i.e., “outer” to both sides) in the SPARQL algebra (consider as input two mappings R and S and give an expression for $R \bowtie S$) and in SPARQL.
- Give all cities (name as ?XN) that are the capital of a country (:capital) or that are located at a river (:locatedAt) or both (return the names ?CN of the country and/or the river (?RN)).

Exercise 1.4 (SPARQL Formal Semantics: OPTIONAL) Consider the SPARQL Formal Semantics.

Prove or show a counterexample:

The statement

If $\text{OPT}(A, B)$ is an optional graph pattern, where A and B are graph patterns, then S is a solution of $\text{OPT}(A, B)$ if S is a pattern solution of A and of B otherwise if S is a solution to A , but not to A and B .

describes the same semantics as above.

Exercise 1.5 (SPARQL: Filter-Safe Expressions) Consider the following definition:

Definition 1 ([PAG06, AG 08]) A SPARQL expression is *filter-safe*, if for every subexpression of the form (P FILTER R), $\text{var}(R) \subseteq \text{var}(P)$.

Filters of the forbidden form are rather commonly used, e.g.,

```
{ ?P1 a :Person; :age ?A1. ?P2 a :Person
  OPTIONAL { ?P2 :age ?A2 . FILTER ( ?A2 > ?A1 ) }}
```

- a) Sketch an algorithm that rewrites non-filter safe queries into safe ones. First, try it on your own, then maybe look in [AG08].
- b) Is there a similar thing in SQL and the relational algebra?