

## 3.6 Database Security

This section covers various basic aspects of security revolving the database functionality. Security is always evolving so keep yourself updated!

- Secure password storage & Basic Password Cracking
- Authentication & HTTPS (with Tomcat)
- DB security risks and countermeasures

## SECURE PASSWORD STORAGE

Problem: How to store passwords in a database?

- Storing login credentials (e.g. for Web services) is a typical application for databases

User	Password
John Doe	securepw1
Trudy	123

- Storing passwords in plain text opens up security risks
  - When attackers get (partial) read access
  - Different kinds of attacking strategies: Dictionary attacks, Brute-force ...

## Naive Solution

- Choose a cryptographic hash function (e.g. MD5, SHA1, ...)
- Store the passwords not in plain text, but as a hash value

User	Password
John Doe	a0719618388bf24f0d89b923df477712
Trudy	202cb962ac59075b964b07152d234b70

- On login: compute hash of input and compare

## Cryptographic Hash Functions

Cryptographic hash functions are "one-way" mathematical functions that are infeasible to invert

- Arbitrary size input "m"
- Fixed size output "h"

→  $\text{hash}(m) = h$

**But!** there is no way to prove that a function is not invertible

→ Difference of "it cannot be broken" and "nobody knows how to break it"

## Properties of cryptographic hash functions

- Deterministic
- Given a hash value, it is infeasible to generate the message (pre-image resistance)
- It is infeasible to find two messages with the same hash value (collision resistance)
- Given a message, it is infeasible to find a different message with the same hash value (second pre-image resistance)

## Use cases of cryptographic hash functions

- Verifying the integrity of messages and files
- Signature generation and verification
- Password verification
- Proof-of-work (deter DOS attacks, crypto-currency)
- File or data identifier

## Attacks on Hashed Passwords

- You should always assume that the attacker knows everything except the plain password!
  - One or a collection of hashes of passwords
  - The algorithm used for the hashing

## General Types of Attacks

- Preimage attack
  - Find a message with a specific hash value
  - For an ideal hash function the fastest way to compute a first or second preimage is through a brute-force attack
- For n-bit hash  $\Rightarrow 2^n$  complexity

- Birthday attack (collision attack)

- „It is more likely to find two random messages with the same hash value than the message for one specific hash value“
- Complexity  $2^{n/2}$

Bit-length	Possible outputs	75% chance of random collision
16	$2^{16} \approx 6.4x10^4$	430
128	$2^{128} \approx 3.4x10^{38}$	$3.1x10^{19}$
512	$2^{512} \approx 1.3x10^{154}$	$1.9x10^{77}$



## Attack example

- Naive attack: Precompute every possible password for a given hash function and then just look them up
  - Saves computing time when looking up multiple hashes
  - Costs an infeasible amount of space
    - Example: Consider all possible combinations of 62 different letters [A-Za-z0-9] in 8 positions =  $62^8$
    - Each pair needs the space of  $\sim 24$  Bytes (16 for the MD5 hash, 8 for the plain text in UTF-8)
    - $\Rightarrow \sim 4766$  Terabyte

### → Rainbow table

- Precomputed table for reversing cryptographic hash functions
- Chains of passwords & hashes to reduce space usage
  - \* Time-space trade-off
  - \* Increasing the length of the chain, decreases the size of the table, but increases time for look-ups

- Rainbow table

- Usage of reduction functions ( $r_1, r_2, \dots$ ) to reverse a hash value back into plain text (not the real inverse!)

$$Plain_1 \xrightarrow{h} Hash_1 \xrightarrow{r_1} Plain_2 \xrightarrow{h} Hash_2 \xrightarrow{r_2} Plain_3 \dots$$

- Only store starting point and endpoint
- For a given target hash value calculate the chain with it and compare to the stored endpoints
  - \* On a hit you know that the password might be inside the chain which can be recalculated from the starting point
  - \* It is not guaranteed due to collision in the Reduction-functions
- To decrease collisions in the hash chains more than one reduction function are used periodically

## Salted Hashes

- Assume that there are Rainbow tables, etc. for every standard hash function
- The attacker has the advantage of parallelism
  - Hash one PW and compare it to a lot of the stored PWs
  - Shares the cost of hashing over several attacked PWs
- Solution: Make the hash function individual for every user

⇒ Salted Hashes

- Add a unique code to every PW to break the hash function into different „families“ of hash functions

$$\text{Hash}(m + \text{salt}) = h$$

- Breaks the parallelism advantage of the attacker
- **But!** Every user has to have an unique salt or else you could create Rainbow tables for the salted hash
  - If the PW is used again on a different platform, it should have a different salt
- How to generate salts that are as unique as possible?
  - Use randomness!

## Salt Generation

- Cryptographically Secure Pseudorandom Number Generators (CSPRNG)
  - "Quality" of randomness required varies for different applications
    - \* Nonce require only uniqueness
    - \* One-time pads require also high entropy
  - Uses entropy obtained from a high-quality source
    - \* Operating system's randomness API
    - \* Timings of hardware interrupts, etc.

- Universally Unique Identifier (UUID)
  - 128 bit number, representation in 32 hexedecimals in 8-4-4-4-12 format
    - \* 123e4567-e89b-12d3-a456-426655440000
  
  - Often used as database keys
    - \* Microsoft SQL Server: NEWID function
    - \* PostgreSQL: UUID datatype + functions
    - \* MySQL: UUID function
    - \* Oracle DB: SYS\_GUID function (not quite a standard GUID, but close enough)

### Aside: Pepper

- A salt, but secret!

⇒ Just like a key

- Only increases security if the attacker has access to the hash, but not the pepper

→ Store pepper on a different "secure" hardware

### Aside: "broken" MD5

- The MD5 Hash-function is considered broken

⇒ It is "easy" to find collisions

- But password hashing is not concerned about collisions

- Preimage attacks are important!

- MD5 has other problems in that regard

→ One of the fastest cryptographic hash function to compute

## Brute-force attacks

- Recall:
  - An ideal hash function has complexity  $2^n$  to find the message of a specific hash value
- But:
  - What if these hash values can be computed really fast?
  - Modern hardware can compute millions of "easy" hash values in mere seconds



## Slow Hash Functions

- Counter faster & faster hardware
  - Make deliberate slow algorithms
- ⇒ Key Derivation Function (KDF) with sliding computational cost
  - \* Hash = KDF(pw , salt , workFactor)
  - PBKDF2
  - bcrypt
  - scrypt
  - Argon2
  - ...
  - How many iterations?
    - As many as possible without hurting the user

## PBKDF2

- Password-Based Key Derivation Function 2
  - Combines
    - \* A hash-based message authentication code (HMAC) function (MD5, SHA1,...)
    - \* Salt
  - Iterates a predefined time
    - \* Recommended in 2000: 1000 iterations
    - \* Recommended in 2011: 100000 iterations

## bcrypt

- Based on the Blowfish block cipher
  - Eksblowfish (expensive key schedule Blowfish)
    - \* Use PW & Salt to generate a set of subkeys (P-array & S-box)
    - \* Iterate depending on the specified cost
  - Iterate 64 times:
    - \* Use standard Blowfish algorithm in ECB (Electronic Codebook) mode
    - \* Block encryption with the set of subkeys and the text "OrpheanBeholderScryDoubt"
  - Password length of up to 56 bytes
  - Uses 4KB RAM

## Time-Space Tradeoff

- Specialized hardware is extremely efficient at multi-threading
  - Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA)
  - GPUs
- But experience difficulties when operating on a large amount of memory
  - ⇒ Design memory-hard functions with exponential memory usage
    - \* scrypt
    - \* Argon2
    - \* ...

## scrypt

- Used as proof-of-work algorithm in many cryptocurrencies (e.g. Dogecoin)
- Uses  $PBKDF2_{HMAC-SHA256}$  amongst other algorithms
- Generates a large vector of pseudorandom bit strings which are accessed in pseudo-random order to produce the derived key

→ Trade-off:

– Store the vector (high memory cost)

vs

– Generate the elements of the vector as needed (high computational cost)

## Argon2

- Winner of the Password Hashing Competition (PHC)(2013-2015)
- Based on the Blake2b hash function
- Variants of Argon2
  - Argon2d
    - \* data-dependent memory access
    - \* highest resistance against GPU cracking attacks
    - \* possible side-channel attacks
  - Argon2i
    - \* data-independent memory access
    - \* safest against side-channel attacks
  - Argon2id
    - \* hybrid of Argon2d & Argon2i

## Closing Words of Advice

- Home-brew vs public standard hash algorithms
  - "Security through obscurity" (does not work!)
    - \* Code gets reverse engineered
    - \* Algorithm should be secure even if all information except the PW is known
    - \* Lots of testing on public algorithms
      - Still deemed secure even after many years
- Common or short passwords kill every secure hash algorithm
  - Recommended: 128 bit (of entropy)  $\sim$  22 chars

## Implementation: How to

- CSPRNG in Java:
  - `Java.security.SecureRandom`
    - \* Seeds automatically
    - \* Uses the secure random function of an installed security Provider (e.g. SUN)



```

import java.nio.charset.Charset;
import java.security.*;
import java.util.Arrays;

public class PasswordHash {
    public static void main(String[] args){
        //Checks the installed security Providers
        Provider[] providers = Security.getProviders();

        for(Provider prov : providers){
            System.out.println(prov.getName());
        }

        //Use an SecureRandom object
        SecureRandom sr = new SecureRandom();
        //SecureRandom sr = SecureRandom.getInstanceStrong();
        //SecureRandom sr = SecureRandom.getInstance("SHA1PRNG", "SUN");

        byte[] salt = new byte[20];
        sr.nextBytes(salt);
        System.out.println(Arrays.toString(salt));
        System.out.println(new String(salt,Charset.forName("ISO-8859-1")));
    }
}

```

[Filename: Servlet/PasswordHash.java]

- Argon2 in Java:
  - Original implemented in C
  - Two Java bindings:
    - \* <https://github.com/phxql/argon2-jvm>
    - \* <https://github.com/kosprov/jargon2-api>
  - Best included via Maven

```
<dependencies>
  <dependency>
    <groupId>com.kosprov.jargon2</groupId>
    <artifactId>jargon2-api</artifactId>
    <version>1.1.1</version>
  </dependency>
  <dependency>
    <groupId>com.kosprov.jargon2</groupId>
    <artifactId>jargon2-native-ri-backend</artifactId>
    <version>1.1.1</version>
  </dependency>
</dependencies>
```

## Aside: Maven in Eclipse

- Maven plugin should be pre-installed
  - If not: Help → Install New Software...
  - Search for "m2e"
- Convert project into Maven project
  - Right Click → Configure → Convert to Maven Project ...
- Add listed dependencies to the project
  - Right Click -> Maven -> Add Dependency
  - OR: Add them manually to the pom.xml

- Argon2 in Java:
  - Follow instructions in the chosen repository (E.g. Jargon2)

```
import static com.kosprov.jargon2.api.Jargon2.*;
import java.util.Arrays;

public class TestArgon2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        byte[] salt = "this is a salt".getBytes();
        byte[] password = "this is a password".getBytes();

        Type type = Type.ARGON2d;
        int memoryCost = 65536;
        int timeCost = 3;
        int parallelism = 4;
        int hashLength = 16;

        // Configure the hasher
        Hasher hasher = jargon2Hasher()
            .type(type)
            .memoryCost(memoryCost)
            .timeCost(timeCost)
            .parallelism(parallelism)
            .hashLength(hashLength);
    }
}
```

```
// Configure the verifier with the same settings as the hasher
Verifier verifier = jargon2Verifier()
    .type(type)
    .memoryCost(memoryCost)
    .timeCost(timeCost)
    .parallelism(parallelism);

// Set the salt and password to calculate the raw hash
byte[] rawHash = hasher.salt(salt).password(password).rawHash();

System.out.printf("Hash: %s%n", Arrays.toString(rawHash));

// Set the raw hash, salt and password and verify
boolean matches = verifier.hash(rawHash).salt(salt).password(password).verifyRaw();

System.out.printf("Matches: %s%n", matches);
}
}
```

[Filename: Servlet/TestArgon2.java]

## Regulars' table (Stammtisch) Knowledge

- Char[] is more secure than String
  - Strings are immutable
    - ⇒ There is no way to delete it from memory before the Garbage Collector kicks in
- Allowing ultra long passwords enables DOS attacks
  - Passwords can be hashed beforehand to prevent that (e.g. with SHA-512)