

**Database Theory**  
**Winter Term 2013/14**  
 Prof. Dr. W. May

## 2. Unit: Kalkül II

Discussion by 4./11.12.2013

**Exercise 6 (Division: Äquivalenz von Algebra und Kalkül)** For the relational algebra, the division operator has been introduced as a derived operator (cf. lecture “Databases”). Consider the relation schemata  $r(A, B)$  and  $s(B)$ .

$$r \div s = \{\mu \in Tup(A) \mid \{\mu\} \times s \subseteq r\} = \pi[A](r) \setminus \pi[A](\pi[A](r) \times s \setminus r).$$

Derive a query in the relational calculus from the left-hand side, and prove the equivalence with the right-hand side.

The left-hand side expression: the set of all possible tuples over a  $A$  is described by  $F(X) = ADOM(X)$ . The remaining task is then easy: for all values  $Y$  in  $S$ , the combination of  $X$  and  $Y$  must be in  $R$ :

$$F(X) = ADOM(X) \wedge \forall Y : (s(Y) \rightarrow r(X, Y)) .$$

Here, it is obvious that instead  $ADOM(X)$ , the consideration can be restricted to the  $A$ -values of  $R$ :

$$F(X) = \exists Z : r(X, Z) \wedge \forall Y : (s(Y) \rightarrow r(X, Y)) .$$

The query is not in SRNF. It is equivalent to

$$F(X) = \exists Z : r(X, Z) \wedge \neg \exists Y : (s(Y) \wedge \neg r(X, Y)) ,$$

which is in SRNF (thus, domain-independent), but not in RANF.

Transformation to RANF (“push-into-not-exists”):

$$F(X) = \exists Z : r(X, Z) \wedge \neg \exists Y : (\exists Z_2 : r(X, Z_2)) \wedge s(Y) \wedge \neg r(X, Y)$$

Derivation of the algebra expression:

$F$	<i>Algebra</i>
$(\exists Z : r(X, Z)) \wedge s(Y) \wedge \neg r(X, Y)$	$(\pi[A](r) \times s) \setminus r$
$\exists Y : (\exists Z : r(X, Z)) \wedge s(Y) \wedge \neg r(X, Y)$	$\pi[A](\pi[A](r) \times s \setminus r)$
$\exists Z : r(X, Z)$	(the expression has the format $A$ )
$F(X)$ as above	$\pi[A](r)$ (has again the format $A$ )
	$\pi[A](r) \setminus \pi[A](\pi[A](r) \times s \setminus r)$

... is exactly the right-hand side.

**Exercise 7 (Kalkül: Gruppierung und Aggregation)** Define a syntactical extension for the relational calculus, that allows to use aggregate functions similar to the `GROUP BY` functionality of SQL.

For this, consider only aggregate functions as simple applications over single attributes like `max(population)`, but not more complex expressions like `max(population/area)`.

- What is the result of an aggregate function, and how can it be used in the calculus?
- Which inputs does an aggregate function have?
- how can this input be obtained from the database?

Give a calculus expression for the query “For each country give the name and the total number of people living in its cities”.

---

The result is a number. It can be bound to a variable or it can be used in a comparison. Thus, the aggregate function is to be considered as a term (whose evaluation yields a value).

The immediate input to an aggregate function is a set/list of values, over which the aggregate is computed (sum, count, ...).

This list can be obtained as results of a (sub)formula (similar to a correlated subquery) with a free variable.

The results are grouped by zero, one or more free variables of the subquery. Usually, these also occur in other literals outside the aggregation.

$$X = \text{agg-op}\{\text{var } [group\text{-by-}vars]; \text{subq-fml}\}$$

where in *subq-fml* the *group-by-vars* and *var* have free occurrences. E.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} F(CN, SumCityPop) = & \\ & \exists C, A, P, Cap, CapProv : \text{country}(CN, C, A, P, Cap, CapProv) \wedge \\ & SumCityPop = \text{sum}\{CityPop [C]; \\ & \exists CtyN, CtyProv, L1, L2 : \text{city}(CtyN, CtyProv, C, CityPop, L1, L2)\} \end{aligned}$$

groups by *C*, computes the sum over *CityPop* and binds the value to *SumCityPop*.

Comments:

- a similar syntax is used in F-Logic;
- the usage in XSB is similar, but the user has to program it more explicitly:
  - the list is created by the Prolog predicate “bagof”;
  - the aggregation operation over the list must be programmed in the common Prolog style for handling a list.

**Exercise 8 (Algebra → Kalkül)** Consider the relation schemata  $R(A, B)$ ,  $S(B, C)$  und  $T(A, B, C)$ .

a) Give an equivalent safe calculus expression for the algebra expression

$$(\pi[A, B]((R \bowtie S) - T)) \cup R$$

b) Simplify it.

c) Give an equivalent safe calculus expression for the algebra expression

$$(\pi[A, B]((R \bowtie S) - T)) \cup \pi[A, B](\sigma[A < B](R) \bowtie T)$$

a) Proceed bottom-up (to know the variables that are already bound):

<i>Algebra</i>	<i>Calc</i>	<i>free( )</i>	<i>rr( )</i>
$R \bowtie S$	$R(A, B) \wedge S(B, C)$	$A, B, C$	$A, B, C$
$(R \bowtie S) - T$	$(R(A, B) \wedge S(B, C)) \wedge \neg S(A, B, C)$	$A, B, C$	$A, B, C$
$\pi[A, B]((R \bowtie S) - T)$	$\exists C : (R(A, B) \wedge S(B, C)) \wedge \neg T(A, B, C)$	$A, B$	$A, B$
$(\pi[A, B]((R \bowtie S) - T)) \cup R$	$(\exists C : (R(A, B) \wedge S(B, C)) \wedge \neg T(A, B, C)) \vee R(A, B)$	$A, B$	$A, B$

The expression is in SRNF and in RANF (and safe).

b) The expression is actually equivalent to  $R$ . The first part of the disjunction/union returns pairs  $(A, B)$  from  $R$ , only with additional constraints.

c) The first part of the disjunction is the same as above. c Second part:

<i>Algebra</i>	<i>Calc</i>	<i>free( )</i>	<i>rr( )</i>
$\sigma[A < B](R)$	$R(A, B) \wedge A < B$	$A, B$	$A, B$
$\sigma[A < B](R) \bowtie T$	$R(A, B) \wedge A < B \wedge T(A, B, C)$	$A, B, C$	$A, B, C$
$\pi[A, B](\sigma[A < B](R) \bowtie T)$	$\exists C : (R(A, B) \wedge A < B \wedge T(A, B, C))$	$A, B$	$A, B$

Together:

$$F(A, B) = (\exists C : (R(A, B) \wedge S(B, C)) \wedge \neg T(A, B, C)) \vee \exists C : (R(A, B) \wedge A < B \wedge T(A, B, C))$$

Note (Semijoin): second part of the disjunction is equivalent to  $\sigma[A < B](R) \bowtie T$ .

The corresponding calculus expression is  $R(A, B) \wedge A < B \wedge \exists C : T(A, B, C)$ .

**Exercise 9 (Kalkül  $\rightarrow$  Algebra)** Consider the relation schemata  $R(A, B)$ ,  $S(B, C)$  und  $T(A, B, C)$ .

a) Give an equivalent algebra expression for the following safe relational calculus expression:

$$F_1(X, Y) = T(Y, a, Y) \wedge (R(a, X) \vee S(X, c)) \wedge \neg T(a, X, Y)$$

Proceed as shown in the lecture for the equivalence proof.

b) Simplify the expression.

c) Extend the expression from 8a) to

$$F_2(Y) = \exists X : (F_1(X, Y) \wedge X > 3)$$

a) First, consider each of the three conjuncts (denoted as  $F_2$ ,  $F_1$  and  $F_3$ ) separately:

The literal  $F_1(Y) = T(Y, a, Y)$  corresponds to the subexpression

$$E_1 = \rho[A \rightarrow Y](\pi[A](\sigma[(A = C) \wedge (B = a)](T))) .$$

The subformula  $F_2(X) = R(a, X) \vee S(X, c)$  corresponds to the expression

$$E_2 = \rho[B \rightarrow X](\pi[B](\sigma[A = a](R))) \cup \rho[B \rightarrow X](\pi[B](\sigma[C = c](S))) .$$

Negated literal  $F_3(X, Y) = \neg T(a, X, Y)$ : The literal  $F_4(X, Y) = T(a, X, Y)$  corresponds to the expression

$$E_4 = \rho[B \rightarrow X, C \rightarrow Y](\pi[B, C](\sigma[A = a](T)))$$

According to the lecture, the expression corresponding to  $F_3(X, Y)$  is then

$$E_3 = \rho[\$1 \rightarrow X, \$2 \rightarrow Y](ADOM^2) - DOM^2 - \rho[B \rightarrow X, C \rightarrow Y](\pi[B, C](\sigma[A = a](T)))$$

where  $ADOM^2 = ((\pi[A](R) \cup \pi[B](R) \cup \pi[B](S) \cup \pi[C](S) \cup \pi[A](T) \cup \pi[B](T) \cup \pi[C](T)) \times (\pi[A](R) \cup \pi[B](R) \cup \pi[B](S) \cup \pi[C](S) \cup \pi[A](T) \cup \pi[B](T) \cup \pi[C](T)))$  contains all 2-tuples of values from the database.

Thus,  $E = E_1 \bowtie E_2 \bowtie (ADOM^2 - E_4)$  is the complete algebra expression.

- b) Simplify:  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  have no variable/column in common, thus it can be simplified as  $(E_1 \times E_2) \bowtie (ADOM^2 - E_4)$ . Both subterms bind  $X$  and  $Y$ , thus,  $ADOM^2$  can be omitted, obtaining  $E' = (E_1 \times E_2) - E_4$ .
- c) The additional comparison is expressed as a selection, and the  $\exists X$  quantification is expressed as a projection to  $Y$ :

$$\pi[Y](\sigma[X > 3](E'))$$


---



---