

Relational Domain Calc (Example)  
 $F(N, C, A) :-$

$\exists Gp, P, x_0 :$

$count(N, C, Gp, x_0, A, P)$

$\wedge P > 100\,000\,000$

$\wedge \exists Perc : \text{Pharmeters}(C, 'Asia', Perc)$

Nov 13-10:10

Onlook Datalog

head(A, B, C) :- Body(A, B, C, D, E, F)  
 ;  
 ;

$\Rightarrow$  rules + conj queries/bodies  
 - recursive Datalog  $\hat{=}$  Alg + TC  
 - nonrecursive Datalog  $\hat{=}$  Alg, SQL

Nov 13-10:37

# Rel Tuple Calc for Slide 429

{ o.abbrev :

Organization (o)

$\wedge \neg \exists \text{cont} : (\text{continent}(\text{cont}))$

$\wedge \exists i, e : (\text{ismember}(i))$

$\wedge \text{eh couples} \text{ src}(e)$

$\wedge i.\text{country} = e.\text{country}$

$\wedge e.\text{continent} = \text{cont.name}$

$\wedge i.\text{org} = o.\text{abbrev}$

$\Leftrightarrow$  { o.abbrev :  
Organization (o)

$\wedge \forall \text{cont} (\text{continent}(\text{cont}) \rightarrow \exists i, e : (\dots))$

Nov 13-11:01

R	
A	B
1	a ✓
1	b ✓
2	b ✓
2	c
3	a

T
A
1
2
...

$R \div T = \{ \cancel{a}, \cancel{b}, \cancel{c} \}$   
 Signature [B]

all R B values that occur in R  
 with each A value of T

Nov 13-11:15

Give me all numbers that are not only populations

Query stated in a wrong way

$$F(CP) = \exists CN, CC, CA : \dots$$

$$\neg \text{count}_y(CN, CC, CA, CP, \dots)$$

Pop. Jerry : 83500000

for the choice : set  $CN = \text{'Alice'}$   
 $CC = \text{'X'}$   
 $CA = \text{'-}'$

$\Rightarrow$  satisfies the choice query  $\rightarrow$  possibly answer would be 83500000

$\Rightarrow$  Next try

Nov 13-11:22

$F(CP) ::= \neg \exists CN, CC, CA, \dots$

instead: achie Domain of DB!  $\text{count}_y(CN, CC, CA, CP, \dots)$

all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $d$  does not occur as count pop in the DB  $\wedge CP$  is a number

$CP/n, \dots$  83500000 is not an answer since where  $\text{count}_y(\text{Jerry}, \dots)$   
 $\dots, 10000000000, 10000000001, \dots$  are answers.

but also  $CP/\text{'X'}$ ,  $CP/\text{'Alice'}$  are answers if they are not listed in  $\mathcal{D}$

Nov 13-11:25

SL 433

$$F(x) = \text{person}(x) \wedge \neg \text{married}(\text{john}, x)$$

$\Leftrightarrow$  possible boundary of  $x$   
 $\neg(x = \text{john})$

Nov 13-11:38