

until now:

- Relational Calculus
- First-Order Logic

Classical Logic

Semantics: FO Model Theory
Reasoning ... Tableau Reasoning

FOC is not monotonic

Datalog:

based on Relational Calculus

different model theory!

Algorithms for computing answers (instead of Reasoning)

⇒ TP is monotonic

Jan 8-10:08

Human Reasoning

~~FOC~~ is not monotonic:

- (usually) birds fly
- penguins are birds
- penguins don't fly
- Tweety is a bird

Knowledge K_1

Knowledge K_2

$= K_1 \cup \{ \text{Tweety is a penguin} \}$

Tweety can fly

Tweety does not fly

Human: "usually" + exceptions
⇒ reasoning with incomplete knowledge

this conclusion does not hold any more

... → withdraw old conclusion upon learning more things

Jan 8-10:51

Same in FOL:

$$F = (\forall x : \text{peewee}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{fly}(x)) \wedge \text{bird}(\text{tree}) \wedge (\forall x : \text{peewee}(x) \rightarrow \text{bird}(x)) \wedge (\forall x : \text{bird}(x) \wedge \neg \text{peewee}(x) \rightarrow \text{fly}(x))$$

What about tree? $F \models \text{fly}(\text{tree})$?

$F \wedge \text{fly}(\text{tree})$ is consistent
but also $F \wedge \neg \text{fly}(\text{tree})$ is also consistent

\Rightarrow no answer in FOL about tree!

No, not sure, but maybe!

Jan 8-10:57

Slide 548

$$P = \begin{cases} q(x) :- p(x). \\ r(x) :- q(x). \\ p(a) :- \text{true}. \end{cases} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (1): \emptyset \quad (2): q(a) \\ (1): \emptyset \quad (2): \emptyset \\ (1): p(a) \quad (2): p(a) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} (3): q(a) \\ (4): r(a) \\ (3): r(a) \\ (4): r(a) \end{array}$$

$T_P^0(\emptyset) = \emptyset$

$T_P^1(\emptyset) = \{p(a)\}$

$T_P^2(\emptyset) = T_P(T_P^1(\emptyset)) = T_P(\{p(a)\}) = \{q(a), p(a)\}$

$T_P^3(\emptyset) = T_P(T_P^2(\emptyset)) = \{q(a), r(a), p(a)\}$

$T_P^4(\emptyset) = \dots = \{ " \} = T_P^3(\emptyset) = T_P^\omega(\emptyset)$

Jan 8-11:19