## Chapter 3 Relational Database Languages: Relational Algebra

We first consider only query languages.
Relational Algebra: Queries are expressions over operators and relation names.
Relational Calculus: Queries are special formulas of first-order logic with free variables.
SQL: Combination from algebra and calculus and additional constructs. Widely used DML for relational databases.

QBE: Graphical query language.
Deductive Databases: Queries are logical rules.

## Relational Database Languages: Comparison and Outlook

## Remark:

- Relational Algebra and (safe) Relational Calculus have the same expressive power. For every expression of the algebra there is an equivalent expression in the calculus, and vice versa.
- A query language is called relationally complete, if it is (at least) as expressive as the relational algebra.
- These languages are compromises between efficiency and expressive power; they are not computationally complete (i.e., they cannot simulate a Turing Machine).
- They can be embedded into host languages (e.g. C++ or Java) or extended (PL/SQL), resulting in full computational completeness.
- Deductive Databases (Datalog) are more expressive than relational algebra and calculus.


### 3.1 Relational Algebra: Computations over Relations

Operations on Tuples - Overview Slide
Let $\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X})$ where $\bar{X}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$.
(Formal definition of $\mu$ see Slide 61)

- For $\emptyset \subset \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X}$, the expression $\mu[\bar{Y}]$ denotes the projection of $\mu$ to $\bar{Y}$.

Result: $\mu[\bar{Y}] \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{Y})$ where $\mu[\bar{Y}](A)=\mu(A), A \in \bar{Y}$.

- A selection condition $\alpha$ (wrt. $\bar{X}$ ) is an expression of the form $A \theta B$ or $A \theta c$, or $c \theta A$ where $A, B \in \bar{X}$, $\operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}(B), c \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$, and $\theta$ is a comparison operator on that domain like e.g. $\{=, \neq, \leq,<, \geq,>\}$.
A tuple $\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X})$ satisfies a selection condition $\alpha$, if - according to $\alpha-\mu(A) \theta \mu(B)$ or $\mu(A) \theta c$, or $c \theta \mu(A)$ holds.
These (atomic) selection conditions can be combined to formulas by using $\wedge, \vee, \neg$, and (, ).
- For $\bar{Y}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$, the expression $\mu\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right]$ denotes the renaming of $\mu$.
Result: $\mu\left[\ldots, A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}, \ldots\right] \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{Y})$ where $\mu\left[\ldots, A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}, \ldots\right]\left(B_{i}\right)=\mu\left(A_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Let $\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X})$ where $\bar{X}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$.

## Projection (Reduction to a subset of the attributes)

For $\emptyset \subset \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X}$, the expression $\mu[\bar{Y}]$ denotes the projection of $\mu$ to $\bar{Y}$.
Result: $\mu[\bar{Y}] \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{Y})$ where $\mu[\bar{Y}](A)=\mu(A), A \in \bar{Y}$.
projection to a given set of attributes

## Example 3.1

Consider the relation schema $R(\bar{X})=$ Continent(name, area) : $\bar{X}=[$ name, area $]$
and the tuple $\mu=$ name $\rightarrow$ "Asia", area $\rightarrow 4.50953 \mathrm{e}+07$.
formally: $\mu($ name $)=$ "Asia", $\mu($ area $)=4.5 E 7$
projection attributes: Let $\bar{Y}=[$ name $]$
Result: $\mu[$ name $]=n a m e \rightarrow$ "Asia"

Again, $\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X})$ where $\bar{X}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$.
Selection (only those tuples that satisfy some condition)
A selection condition $\alpha$ (wrt. $\bar{X}$ ) is an expression of the form $A \theta B$ or $A \theta c$, or $c \theta A$ where $A, B \in \bar{X}, \operatorname{dom}(A)=\operatorname{dom}(B), c \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$, and $\theta$ is a comparison operator on that domain like e.g. $\{=, \neq, \leq,<, \geq,>\}$.
A tuple $\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X})$ satisfies a selection condition $\alpha$, if - according to $\alpha-\mu(A) \theta \mu(B)$ or $\mu(A) \theta c$, or $c \theta \mu(A)$ holds.
yes/no-selection of tuples (without changing the tuple)

## Example 3.2

Consider again the relation schema $R(\bar{X})=$ continent(name, area): $\bar{X}=[$ name, area $]$.
Selection condition: area $>10000000$.
Consider again the tuple $\mu=$ name $\rightarrow$ "Asia", area $\rightarrow 4.50953 \mathrm{e}+07$.
formally: $\mu($ name $)=$ "Asia", $\mu($ area $)=4.5 E 7$
check: $\mu($ area $)>10000000$
Result: yes.
These (atomic) selection conditions can be combined to formulas by using $\wedge, \vee, \neg$, and (, ).

Let $\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X})$ where $\bar{X}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$.

## Renaming (of attributes)

For $\bar{Y}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$, the expression $\mu\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right]$ denotes the renaming of $\mu$.
Result: $\mu\left[\ldots, A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}, \ldots\right] \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{Y})$ where $\mu\left[\ldots, A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}, \ldots\right]\left(B_{i}\right)=\mu\left(A_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.
renaming of attributes (without changing the tuple)

## Example 3.3

Consider (for a tuple of the table $R(\bar{X})=$ encompasses(country, continent, percent)):
$\bar{X}=[$ country, continent, percent $]$.
Consider the tuple $\mu=$ country $\rightarrow$ " $R$ ", continent $\rightarrow$ "Asia", percent $\rightarrow 80$.
formally: $\mu($ country $)=$ " $R ", \mu($ continent $)=$ "Asia", $\mu($ percent $)=80$
Renaming: $\bar{Y}=[$ code, name, percent $]$
Result: a new tuple $\mu[$ country $\rightarrow$ code, continent $\rightarrow$ name, percent $\rightarrow$ percent $]=$ code $\rightarrow$ " $R$ ", name $\rightarrow$ "Asia", percent $\rightarrow 80$ that now fits into the schema
new_encompasses(code, name, percent).

The usefulness of renaming will become clear later ...

## Expressions in the Relational Algebra

What is an algebra?

- An algebra consists of a "domain" (i.e., a set of "things"), and a set of operators.
- Operators map elements of the domain to other elements of the domain.
- Each of the operators has a "semantics", that is, a definition how the result of applying it to some input should look like.
- Algebra expressions are built over basic constants and operators (inductive definition).


## Relational Algebra

- The "domain" consists of all relations (over arbitrary sets of attributes).
- The operators are then used for combining relations, and for describing computations e.g., in SQL.
- Relational algebra expressions are defined inductively over relations and operators.
- Relational algebra expressions define queries against a relational database.


## Inductive Definition of Expressions

## Atomic Expressions

- For an arbitrary attribute $A$ and a constant $a \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$, the constant relation $A:\{a\}$ is an algebra expression.
Format: $[A]$
Result relation: $\{a\}$

| $A:\{a\}$ |
| :---: |
| $A$ |
| $a$ |

- Given a database schema $\mathbf{R}=\left\{R_{1}\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right), \ldots, R_{n}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)\right\}$, every relation name $R_{i}$ is an algebra expression.
Format of $R_{i}: \bar{X}_{i}$
Result relation (wrt. a given database state $\mathcal{S}$ ): the relation $\mathcal{S}\left(R_{i}\right)$ that is currently stored in the database.


## Structural Induction: Applying an Operator

- takes one or more input relations $i n_{1}, i n_{2}, \ldots$
- produces a result relation out:
- out has a format, depends on the formats of the input relations.
- out is a relation, i.e., it contains some tuples, depends on the content of the input relations.


## Base Operators

Let $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$ formats and $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ and $s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{Y})$ relations over $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$.

## Union

Assume $r, s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$.
Result format of $r \cup s: \bar{X}$
Result relation: $r \cup s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X}) \mid \mu \in r$ or $\mu \in s\}$.

$$
r=\begin{array}{lll}
A & B & C \\
\hline a & b & c \\
d & a & f \\
c & b & d
\end{array} \quad s=\begin{array}{lll}
A & B & C \\
b & g & a \\
d & a & f
\end{array} \quad r \cup s=\begin{array}{ccc}
A & B & C \\
\hline a & b & c \\
d & a & f \\
c & b & d \\
b & g & a
\end{array}
$$

## Set Difference

Assume $r, s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$.
Result format of $r \backslash s: \bar{X}$
Result relation: $r \backslash s=\{\mu \in r \mid \mu \notin s\}$.

$$
r=\begin{array}{lll}
A & B & C \\
\hline a & b & c \\
d & a & f \\
c & b & d
\end{array}
$$

$$
s=\begin{array}{lll}
A & B & C \\
\hline b & g & a \\
d & a & f
\end{array}
$$

$$
r \backslash s=\begin{array}{ccc}
A & B & C \\
\hline a & b & c \\
c & b & d
\end{array}
$$

Projection (Reduction to a subset of the attributes)
Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ and $\bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X}$.
Result format of $\pi[\bar{Y}](r): \bar{Y}$
Result relation: $\pi[\bar{Y}](r)=\{\mu[\bar{Y}] \mid \mu \in r\}$.

## Example 3.4

| Continent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name | area |
| Europe | 9562489.6 |
| Africa | $3.02547 e+07$ |
| Asia | $4.50953 e+07$ |
| America | $3.9872 e+07$ |
| Australia | 8503474.56 |


| Let $\bar{Y}=[n a m e]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{1}[$ name $]=$ name $\rightarrow$ "Europe" |  |
| $\mu_{2}[$ name $]=$ | name $\rightarrow$ "Africa" |
| $\mu_{3}[$ name $]=$ | name $\rightarrow$ "Asia" |
| $\mu_{4}[$ name $]=$ | name $\rightarrow$ "America" |
| $\mu_{5}[$ name $]=$ | name $\rightarrow$ "Australia" |


| $\pi[$ name $]$ (Continent) |
| :--- |
| name |
| Europe |
| Africa |
| Asia |
| America |
| Australia |

Selection (Reduction of number of tuples by a condition)
Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ and a selection condition $\alpha$ over $\bar{X}$.
Result format of $\sigma[\alpha](r): \bar{X}$
Result relation: $\sigma[\alpha](r)=\{\mu \in r \mid \mu$ satisfies $\alpha\}$.

## Example 3.5

| Continent |  | Let $\alpha=$ "area > 10000000" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | area | $\mu_{1}(\text { area })>10000000 ?-\text { no }$ | $\sigma[$ area $>10 \mathrm{~Eb}]$ (Continent) |  |
| Europe | 9562489.6 |  | name | area |
| Africa | $3.02547 e+07$ | $\mu_{2}($ area $)>10000000$ ?-yes | Africa | $3.02547 e+07$ |
| Asia | $4.50953 e+07$ | $\mu_{3}($ area $)>10000000$ ? - yes | Asia | $4.50953 \mathrm{e}+07$ |
| America | $3.9872 e+07$ | $\mu_{4}($ area $)>10000000$ ? - yes | America | $3.9872 e+07$ |
| Australia | 8503474.56 | $\mu_{5}($ area $)>10000000 ?-$ no |  |  |

## Renaming (of attributes)

Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ with $\bar{X}=\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right]$ and a renaming $\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right]$.
Result format of $\rho\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right](r):\left[B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right]$
Result relation: $\rho\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right](r)=\left\{\mu\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right] \mid \mu \in r\right\}$.

## Example 3.6

Consider the renaming of the table encompasses(country, continent, percent):
$\bar{X}=[$ country, continent, percent $]$
Renaming: $\bar{Y}=[$ code, name, percent $]$

| $\rho[$ country $\rightarrow$ code, continent $\rightarrow$ name, percent $\rightarrow$ percent $]($ encompasses $)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| code | name | percent |
| $R$ | Europe | 20 |
| $R$ | Asia | 80 |
| $D$ | Europe | 100 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

(Natural) Join (Combining two relations via common attributes)
Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ and $s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{Y})$ for arbitrary $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$.
Convention: For $\bar{X} \cup \bar{Y}$, as a shorthand, write $\overline{X Y}$.
for two tuples $\mu_{1}=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and $\mu_{2}=w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}, \mu_{1} \mu_{2}:=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}$
Result format of $r \bowtie s: \overline{X Y}$.
Result relation: $r \bowtie s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\overline{X Y}) \mid \mu[\bar{X}] \in r$ and $\mu[\bar{Y}] \in s\}$.

## Motivation

Simplest Case: $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}=\emptyset \Rightarrow$ Cartesian Product $r \bowtie s=r \times s$
$r \times s=\left\{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \in \operatorname{Tup}(\overline{X Y}) \mid \mu_{1} \in r\right.$ and $\left.\mu_{2} \in s\right\}$.

$$
r=\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
1 & 2 \\
4 & 5
\end{array} \quad s=\begin{array}{cc}
C \\
\hline a & b \\
c & d \\
e & f
\end{array} \quad r \bowtie s=\begin{array}{llll}
\hline 1 & 2 & a & b \\
1 & 2 & c & d \\
1 & 2 & e & f \\
4 & 5 & a & b \\
4 & 5 & c & d \\
4 & 5 & e & f \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Example 3.7 (Cartesian Product of Continent and Encompasses)

The cartesian product combines everything with everything, not only "meaningful" combinations:

| Continent $\times$ encompasses |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| name | area | continent | country | percent |
| Europe | 9562489.6 | Europe | $D$ | 100 |
| Europe | 9562489.6 | Europe | $R$ | 20 |
| Europe | 9562489.6 | Asia | $R$ | 80 |
| Europe | 9562489.6 | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |
| Africa | $3.02547 e+07$ | Europe | $D$ | 100 |
| Africa | $3.02547 e+07$ | Europe | $R$ | 20 |
| Africa | $3.02547 e+07$ | Asia | $R$ | 80 |
| Africa | $3.02547 e+07$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |
| Asia | $4.50953 e+07$ | Europe | $D$ | 100 |
| Asia | $4.50953 e+07$ | Europe | $R$ | 20 |
| Asia | $4.50953 e+07$ | Asia | $R$ | 80 |
| Asia | $4.50953 e+07$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |
| $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |

Back to the Natural Join
General case $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \neq \emptyset$ : shared attribute names constrain the result relation.
Again the definition: $r \bowtie s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\overline{X Y}) \mid \mu[\bar{X}] \in r$ and $\mu[\bar{Y}] \in s\}$.
(Note: this implies that the tuples $\mu_{1}:=\mu[\bar{X}] \in r$ and $\mu_{2}:=\mu[\bar{Y}] \in s$ coincide in the shared attributes $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$ )

## Example 3.8

Consider encompasses(country, continent,percent) and isMember(organization,country,type):

|  | ncompasse |  |  | Member |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| country | continent | percent | organization | country | type |
| $R$ | Europe | 20 | EU | D | member |
| $R$ | Asia | 80 | UN | D | member |
| D | Europe | 100 | UN | $R$ | member |
| : | : | : | : | : | : |
| encompasses $\bowtie i s M e m b e r=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}($ country, cont, perc, org, type) $\mid$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example 3.8 (Continued)

encompasses $\bowtie$ isMember $=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}($ country, cont, perc, org,type $) \mid$

$$
\mu[\text { country }, \text { cont }, \text { per } c] \in \text { encompasses and } \mu[\text { org, country }, \text { type }] \in i s M e m b e r\}
$$

start with $(R$, Europe, 20$) \in$ encompasses.
check which tuples in isMember match:
(UN, R, member) $\in$ isMember matches:
result: ( $R$, Europe, 20, U N, member) belongs to the result.
(some more matches ...)
continue with $(R$, Asia, 80) $\in$ encompasses.
$(U N, R$, member $) \in i s M e m b e r ~ m a t c h e s: ~$
result: ( $R$, Asia, $80, U N$, member) belongs to the result.
(some more matches ...)
continue with ( $D$, Europe, 100$) \in$ encompasses.
$(E U, D$, member $) \in i s M e m b e r ~ m a t c h e s: ~$
result: ( $D$, Europe, 100, EU, member) belongs to the result.
(U N, D, member) $\in$ isMember matches:
result: ( $D$, Europe, $100, U N$, member) belongs to the result.
(some more matches ...)

## Example 3.8 (Continued)

Result:

| encompasses $\bowtie$ isMember |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| country | continent | percent | organization | type |
| $R$ | Europe | 20 | UN | member |
| $R$ | Europe | 20 | $:$ | $:$ |
| $R$ | Asia | 80 | UN | member |
| $R$ | Asia | 80 | $:$ | $:$ |
| $D$ | Europe | 100 | UN | member |
| $D$ | Europe | 100 | EU | member |
| $D$ | Europe | 100 | $:$ | $:$ |
| $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |

## Example 3.9 (and Exercise)

Consider the expression
Continent $\bowtie \rho[$ country $\rightarrow$ code, continent $\rightarrow$ name, percent $\rightarrow$ percent $]$ (encompasses)

Functionalities of the Join

- Combining relations
- Selective functionality: only matching tuples survive (consider joining cities and organizations on headquarters)


## Derived Operators

## Intersection

Assume $r, s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$.
Then, $r \cap s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{X}) \mid \mu \in r$ and $\mu \in s\}$.
Theorem 3.1
Intersection can be expressed by difference: $r \cap s=r \backslash(r \backslash s)$.

## $\theta$-Join

Combination of Cartesian Product and Selection:
Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$, and $s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{Y})$, such that $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}=\emptyset$, and $A \theta B$ a selection condition. $r \bowtie_{A \theta B} s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\overline{X Y}) \mid \mu[\bar{X}] \in r, \mu[\bar{Y}] \in s$ and $\mu$ satisfies $A \theta B\}=\sigma[A \theta B](r \times s)$.

## Equi-Join

$\theta$-join that uses the " $=$ "-predicate.

## Example 3.10 (and Exercise) <br> Consider again Example 3.7:

Continent $\bowtie$ encompasses $=$ Continent $\times$ encompasses contained tuples that did not really make sense.

Continent $\bowtie_{\text {continent }}$ name encompasses would be more useful.
Furthermore, consider
$\pi[$ continent, area, code, percent $]\left(\right.$ Continent $\bowtie_{\text {continent }=\text { name }}$ encompasses):

- removes the - now redundant - "name" column,
- is equivalent to the natural join $(\rho[$ name $\rightarrow$ continent $]($ continent $)) \bowtie$ encompasses.


## Semi-Join

- recall: joins combine, but are also selective
- semi-join acts like a selection on a relation $r$ : selection condition not given as a boolean formula on the attributes of $r$, but by "looking into" another relation (a subquery)

Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ and $s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{Y})$ such that $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \neq \emptyset$.
Result format of $r \bowtie s: \bar{X}$
Result relation: $r \bowtie s=\pi[\bar{X}](r \bowtie s)$
The semi-join $r \bowtie s$ does not return the join, but checks which tuples of $r$ "survive" the join with $s$ (i.e., "which find a counterpart in $s$ wrt. the shared attributes"):

- Used with subqueries: (main query) $\ltimes$ (subquery)
- $r \bowtie s \subseteq r$
- Used for optimizing the evaluation of joins (often in combination with indexes).

Semi-Join: Example
Give the names of all countries where a city with at least 1000000 inhabitants is located:


- Have a short look "inside" the subquery, but dont' actually use it:
- look only if there is a big city in this country.
- "if the country code is in the set of country codes ..."

```
            \(\pi\) [name]
            I
            \(\bowtie\) Country.code=City.country
Country \(\quad \pi\) [country] and put an index on the result set
\(\sigma\) [population \(>1000000\) ]
!
City
```


## Outer Join

- The join is the operator for combining relations


## Example 3.11

- Persons work in divisions of a company, tools are assigned to the divisions:

| Works |  | Tools |  | Works $\bowtie$ Tools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person | Division | Division | Tool | Person | Division | Tool |
| John | Production | Production | hammer | John | Production | hammer |
| Bill | Production | Research | pen | Bill | Production | hammer |
| John | Research | Research | computer | John | Research | pen |
| Mary | Research | Admin. | typewriter | John | Research | computer |
| Sue | Sales |  |  | Mary | Research | pen |
|  |  |  |  | Mary | Research | computer |

- join contains no tuple that describes Sue,
- join contains no tuple that describes the administration or sales division,
- join contains no tuple that shows that there is a typewriter.


## Outer Join

Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ and $s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{Y})$.
Result format of $r \exists \bowtie \llbracket s: \overline{X Y}$
The outer join extends the "inner" join with all tuples that have no counterpart in the other relation (filled with null values):

## Example 3.12 (Outer Join)

Consider again Example 3.11

| Works $\exists \bowtie \subseteq$ Tools |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Person | Division | Tool |
| John | Production | hammer |
| Bill | Production | hammer |
| John | Research | pen |
| John | Research | computer |
| Mary | Research | pen |
| Mary | Research | computer |
| Sue | Sales | NULL |
| NULL | Admin | typewriter |


| Works $\ltimes$ Tools |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person | Division |
| John | Production |
| Bill | Production |
| John | Research |
| Mary | Research |


| Works $\times$ Tools |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Division | Tool |
| Production | hammer |
| Research | pen |
| Research | computer |

Formally, the result relation $r \exists \bowtie \llbracket s$ is defined as follows:
$J=r \bowtie s$ — take the ("inner") join as base
$r_{0}=r \backslash \pi[\bar{X}](J)=r \backslash(r \bowtie s)$ - $r$-tuples that "are missing"
$s_{0}=s \backslash \pi[\bar{Y}](J)=s \backslash(r>s)-s$-tuples that "are missing"
$\bar{Y}_{0}=\bar{Y} \backslash \bar{X}, \bar{X}_{0}=\bar{X} \backslash \bar{Y}$
Let $\mu_{s} \in \operatorname{Tup}\left(\bar{Y}_{0}\right), \mu_{r} \in \operatorname{Tup}\left(\bar{X}_{0}\right)$ such that $\mu_{s}, \mu_{r}$ consist only of null values

$$
r \sqsupset \bowtie \llbracket ~ s=J \cup\left(r_{0} \times\left\{\mu_{s}\right\}\right) \cup\left(s_{0} \times\left\{\mu_{r}\right\}\right) .
$$

## Example 3.12 (Continued)

For the above example,
$J=$ Works $\bowtie$ Tools
$r_{0}=$ ["Sue","Sales"], $s_{0}=[$ "Admin","Typewriter"]
$\bar{Y}_{0}=$ Tool, $\bar{X}_{0}=$ Person

$\mu_{s}=$| Tool |
| :---: |
| null |$\mu_{r}=$| Person |
| :---: |
| null |


$r_{0} \times\left\{\mu_{s}\right\}=$| Person | Division | Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sue | Sales | null |


$s_{0} \times\left\{\mu_{r}\right\}=$| Person | Division | Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| null | Admin | Typewriter |

## Left and Right Outer Join

Analogously to the (full) outer join:

- $r \exists \bowtie s=J \cup\left(r_{0} \times\left\{\mu_{s}\right\}\right)$.
- $r \bowtie \sqsubset s=J \cup\left(s_{0} \times\left\{\mu_{r}\right\}\right)$.


## Generalized Natural Join

Assume $r_{i} \subseteq \operatorname{Tup}\left(\bar{X}_{i}\right)$.
Result format: $\cup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_{i}$
Result relation: $\bowtie_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=\left\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{X}_{i}\right) \mid \mu\left[\bar{X}_{i}\right] \in r_{i}\right\}$

## Exercise 3.1

Prove that the Generalized Natural Join is well-defined, i.e., that the order how to join the $r_{i}$ does not matter.
Proceed as follows:

- Show that the natural join is commutative,
- Show that the natural join is associative,
- ... then complete the proof.


## Relational Division

Assume $r \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{X})$ and $s \in \operatorname{Rel}(\bar{Y})$ such that $\bar{Y} \subsetneq \bar{X}$.
Result format of $r \div s: \bar{Z}=\bar{X} \backslash \bar{Y}$.
The result relation $r \div s$ is specified as "all $\bar{Z}$-values that occur in $\pi[\bar{Z}](r)$, with the additional condition that they occur in $r$ together with each of the $\bar{Y}$ values that occur in $s$ ".

Formally,

$$
r \div s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{Z}) \mid \mu \in \pi[\bar{Z}](r) \wedge\{\mu\} \times s \subseteq r\}=\pi[\bar{Z}](r) \backslash \pi[\bar{Z}]((\pi[\bar{Z}](r) \times s) \backslash r) .
$$

- Simple observation: $\pi[\bar{Z}](r) \supseteq r \div s$. This constrains the set of possible results.
- Often, $\bar{Z}$ and $\bar{Y}$ correspond to the keys of relations that represent the instances of entity types.
- Exercise: the explicit " $\mu \in \pi[\bar{Z}](r)$ " in the first characterization looks a bit redundant. Is it? - or why not?


## Example 3.13 (Relational Division)

Compute those organizations that have at least one member on each continent:
First step: which organizations have (some) member on which continents:


SELECT DISTINCT i.organization, e.continent FROM ismember i, encompasses e
WHERE i.country=e.country ORDER by 1

| orgOnCont |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| organization | continent |
| UN | Europe |
| UN | Asia |
| UN | America |
| UN | Africa |
| UN | Australia |
| NATO | Europe |
| NATO | America |
| NATO | Asia |
| $:$ | $:$ |

## Example 3.13 (Cont'd)


$r(\bar{X}), s(\bar{Y}), \quad \bar{Z}:=\bar{X} \backslash \bar{Y}$
$r \div s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{Z}) \mid$
$\mu \in \pi[\bar{Z}](r) \wedge\{\mu\} \times s \subseteq r\}$
$\bar{X}=$ [organization, continent $]$
$\bar{Y}=$ [continent]
Thus, $\bar{Z}=$ [organization].

| orgOnCont |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| organization | continent |
| UN | Europe |
| UN | Asia |
| UN | America |
| UN | Africa |
| UN | Australia |
| NATO | Europe |
| NATO | America |
| NATO | Asia |
| $:$ | $:$ |


| $\rho[$ name $\rightarrow$ continent $]$ <br> $(\pi$ [name $]($ continent $) \boldsymbol{)}$ |
| :---: |
| continent |
| Asia |
| Europe |
| Australia |
| America |
| Africa |

- UN: occurs with each continent in orgOnCont
$\Rightarrow$ belongs to the result.
- NATO: does not occur with each continent in orgOnCont
$\Rightarrow$ does not belong to the result.


## Example 3.13 (Cont'd)

Consider again the formal algebraic characterization of the division:

$$
r \div s=\{\mu \in \operatorname{Tup}(\bar{Z}) \mid \mu \in \pi[\bar{Z}](r) \wedge\{\mu\} \times s \subseteq r\}=\pi[\bar{Z}](r) \backslash \pi[\bar{Z}]((\pi[\bar{Z}](r) \times s) \backslash r)
$$

1. $r=$ orgOnCont, $s=\pi[$ name $]$ (continent), $Z=$ Country.
2. $(\pi[\bar{Z}](r) \times s)$ contains all tuples of organizations with each of the continents, e.g., (NATO,Europe), (NATO,Asia), (NATO,America), (NATO,Africa), (NATO,Australia).
3. $((\pi[\bar{Z}](r) \times s) \backslash r)$ contains all such tuples which are not "valid", e.g., (NATO,Africa).
4. projecting this to the organizations yields all those organizations where a non-valid tuple has been generated in (2), i.e., that have no member on some continent (e.g., NATO).
5. $\pi[\bar{Z}](r)$ is the list of all organizations ...
6. ... subtracting those computed in (4) yields those that have a member on each continent.a

## Expressions

- inductively defined: combining expressions by operators


## Example 3.14

The names of all cities where (i) headquarters of an organization are located, and (ii) that are capitals of a member country of this organization.

As a tree:

isMember Country
Note that there are many equivalent expressions.

## Expressions in the Relational Algebra as Queries

Let $\mathbf{R}=\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}\right\}$ a set of relation schemata of the form $R_{i}\left(\bar{X}_{i}\right)$. As already described, an database state to $\mathbf{R}$ is a structure $\mathcal{S}$ that maps every relation name $R_{i}$ in $\mathbf{R}$ to a relation $\mathcal{S}\left(R_{i}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Tup}\left(\bar{X}_{i}\right)$

Every algebra expression $Q$ defines a query against the state $\mathcal{S}$ of the database:

- For given $\mathbf{R}, Q$ is assigned a format $\Sigma_{Q}$ (the format of the answer).
- For every database state $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}(Q) \subseteq \operatorname{Tup}\left(\Sigma_{Q}\right)$ is a relation over $\Sigma_{Q}$, called the answer set for $Q$ wrt. $\mathcal{S}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(Q)$ can be computed according to the inductive definition, starting with the innermost (atomic) subexpressions.
- Thus, the relational algebra has a functional semantics.


## Summary: Inductive Definition of Expressions

## Atomic Expressions

- For an arbitrary attribute $A$ and a constant $a \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$, the constant relation $A:\{a\}$ is an algebra expression.
$\Sigma_{A:\{a\}}=[A]$ and $\mathcal{S}(A:\{a\})=A:\{a\}$
- Every relation name $R$ is an algebra expression.
$\Sigma_{R}=\bar{X}$ and $\mathcal{S}(R)=\mathcal{S}(R)$.


## Summary (Cont'd)

## Compound Expressions

Assume algebra expressions $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ that define $\Sigma_{Q_{1}}, \Sigma_{Q_{2}}, \mathcal{S}\left(Q_{1}\right)$, and $\mathcal{S}\left(Q_{2}\right)$.
Compound algebraic expressions are now formed by the following rules (corresponding to the algebra operators):

## Union

If $\Sigma_{Q_{1}}=\Sigma_{Q_{2}}$, then $Q=\left(Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}\right)$ is the union of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.
$\Sigma_{Q}=\Sigma_{Q_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{S}(Q)=\mathcal{S}\left(Q_{1}\right) \cup \mathcal{S}\left(Q_{2}\right)$.

## Difference

If $\Sigma_{Q_{1}}=\Sigma_{Q_{2}}$, then $Q=\left(Q_{1} \backslash Q_{2}\right)$ is the difference of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.
$\Sigma_{Q}=\Sigma_{Q_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{S}(Q)=\mathcal{S}\left(Q_{1}\right) \backslash \mathcal{S}\left(Q_{2}\right)$.

## Projection

For $\emptyset \neq \bar{Y} \subseteq \Sigma_{Q_{1}}, Q=\pi[\bar{Y}]\left(Q_{1}\right)$ is the projection of $Q_{1}$ to the attributes in $\bar{Y}$.
$\Sigma_{Q}=\bar{Y}$ and $\mathcal{S}(Q)=\pi[\bar{Y}]\left(\mathcal{S}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right)$.

## Inductive Definition of Expressions (Cont'd)

## Selection

For a selection condition $\alpha$ over $\Sigma_{Q_{1}}, Q=\sigma[\alpha] Q_{1}$ is the selection from $Q_{1}$ wrt. $\alpha$.
$\Sigma_{Q}=\Sigma_{Q_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{S}(Q)=\sigma[\alpha]\left(\mathcal{S}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right)$.
Natural Join
$Q=\left(Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{2}\right)$ is the (natural) join of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.
$\Sigma_{Q}=\Sigma_{Q_{1}} \cup \Sigma_{Q_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{S}(Q)=\mathcal{S}\left(Q_{1}\right) \bowtie \mathcal{S}\left(Q_{2}\right)$.

## Renaming

For $\Sigma_{Q_{1}}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$ a set of attributes, $\rho\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right] Q_{1}$ is the renaming of $Q_{1}$
$\Sigma_{Q}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{S}(Q)=\left\{\mu\left[A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}\right] \mid \mu \in \mathcal{S}\left(Q_{1}\right)\right\}$.

## Example

## Example 3.15

Professor(PNr, Name, Office), Course(CNr, Credits, CName) teach(PNr, CNr), examine(PNr, CNr)

- For each professor (name) determine the courses he gives (CName).

$$
\pi \text { [Name, CName] ((Professor } \bowtie \text { teach }) \bowtie \text { Course) }
$$

- For each professor (name) determine the courses (CName) that he teaches, but that he does not examine.

```
\pi[Name, CName]((
(\pi[Name, CNr](Professor }\bowtie teach)
\
(\pi[Name, CNr](Professor \bowtie examine))
) }\bowtie\mathrm{ Course)
```

Simpler expression:

$$
\pi \text { [Name, CName] ((Professor } \bowtie \text { (teach } \backslash \text { examine)) } \bowtie \text { Course) }
$$

## Equivalence of Expressions

Algebra expressions $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are called equivalent, $Q \equiv Q^{\prime}$, if and only if for all structures $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}(Q)=\mathcal{S}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$.
Equivalence of expressions is the basis for algebraic optimization.
Let $\operatorname{attr}(\alpha)$ the set of attributes that occur in a selection condition $\alpha$, and $Q, Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots$ expressions with formats $X, X_{1}, \ldots$..

## Projections

- $\bar{Z}, \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X} \Rightarrow \pi[\bar{Z}](\pi[\bar{Y}](Q)) \equiv \pi[\bar{Z} \cap \bar{Y}](Q)$.
- $\bar{Z} \subseteq \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X} \Rightarrow \pi[\bar{Z}](\pi[\bar{Y}](Q)) \equiv \pi[\bar{Z}](Q)$.


## Selections

- $\left.\sigma\left[\alpha_{1}\right]\left(\sigma\left[\alpha_{2}\right](Q)\right) \equiv \sigma\left[\alpha_{2}\right]\left(\sigma\left[\alpha_{1}\right](Q)\right) \equiv \sigma\left[\alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{2}\right](Q)\right)$.
- $\operatorname{attr}(\alpha) \subseteq \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X} \Rightarrow \pi[\bar{Y}](\sigma[\alpha](Q)) \equiv \sigma[\alpha](\pi[\bar{Y}](Q))$.

Joins

- $Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{2} \equiv Q_{2} \bowtie Q_{1}$.
- $\left(Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{2}\right) \bowtie Q_{3} \equiv Q_{1} \bowtie\left(Q_{2} \bowtie Q_{3}\right)$.


## Equivalence of Expressions (Cont'd)

## Joins and other Operations

- $\operatorname{attr}(\alpha) \subseteq \bar{X}_{1} \cap \bar{X}_{2} \Rightarrow \sigma[\alpha]\left(Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{2}\right) \equiv \sigma[\alpha]\left(Q_{1}\right) \bowtie \sigma[\alpha]\left(Q_{2}\right)$.
- $\operatorname{attr}(\alpha) \subseteq \bar{X}_{1}, \operatorname{attr}(\alpha) \cap \bar{X}_{2}=\emptyset \Rightarrow \sigma[\alpha]\left(Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{2}\right) \equiv \sigma[\alpha]\left(Q_{1}\right) \bowtie Q_{2}$.
- Assume $\bar{V} \subseteq \overline{X_{1} X_{2}}$ and let $\bar{W}=\bar{X}_{1} \cap \overline{V X_{2}}, \bar{U}=\bar{X}_{2} \cap \overline{V X_{1}}$.

Then, $\pi[\bar{V}]\left(Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{2}\right) \equiv \pi[\bar{V}]\left(\pi[\bar{W}]\left(Q_{1}\right) \bowtie \pi[\bar{U}]\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)$;

- $\bar{X}_{2}=\bar{X}_{3} \Rightarrow Q_{1} \bowtie\left(Q_{2}\right.$ op $\left.Q_{3}\right) \equiv\left(Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{2}\right)$ op $\left(Q_{1} \bowtie Q_{3}\right)$ where op $\in\{\cup, \backslash\}$.


## Exercise 3.2

Prove some of the equalities (use the definitions given on the "Base Operators" slide).

## Expressive Power of the Algebra

## Transitive Closure

The transitive closure of a binary relation $R$, denoted by $R^{*}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{1} & =R \\
R^{n+1} & =\left\{(a, b) \mid \text { there is an } s \text { s.t. }(a, x) \in R^{n} \text { and }(x, b) \in R\right\} \\
R^{*} & =\bigcup_{1 . . \infty} R^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples:

- $\operatorname{child}(x, y)$ : child* $=$ descendant
- flight connections
- flows_into of rivers in MONDIAL


## Theorem 3.2

There is no expression of the relational algebra that computes the transitive closure of arbitrary binary relations $r$.

## Examples

Time to play. Perhaps postpone examples after comparison with SQL (next subsections)

## Aspects

- join as "extending" operation (cartesian product - "all pairs of $X$ and $Y$ such that ...")
- equijoin as "restricting" operation
- natural join/equijoin in many cases along key/foreign key relationships
- relational division (in case of queries of the style "return all $X$ that are in a given relation with all Y such that ...")

